	Case 3:16-cv-05303-BHS Docur	ment 51 Filed 04/21/17 Page 1 of 5
1 2 3 4		HONORABLE BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
5 6 7		
8 9 10		CATES DISTRICT COURT CT OF WASHINTON AT TACOMA
11	STEVEN EILERMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 3:16-CV-05303-BHS  DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN IN
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
14 15	MCLANE COMPANY, INC. DBA MCLANE/NORTHWEST, a Washington Corporation	
16 17	Defendant.	
18 19		
20   21		
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
25 26		
27	DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN	INDIA LIN BODIEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
28	ISO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROV	

## I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Washington. I am licensed to practice before all courts in the State of Washington. I am a solo practitioner. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. I am local counsel for Ackerman and Tilajef, P.C., ("A & T") and HammondLaw, P.C. ("HammondLaw") in this lawsuit ("Action"). I make this Declaration in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of Class Action Settlement.
- 3. I have no knowledge of the existence of any conflicting interests between myself and A&T and/or Hammond Law, on the one hand, and Plaintiff or any other Class Member, on the other.

## II. ATTORNEY EXPERIENCE

- 4. Since becoming a solo practitioner in 2013, I have devoted a substantial percentage of my practice to litigating wage and hour violations, the bulk of these being class actions. I have served as local counsel and co-counsel on numerous wage and hour class actions and in individual discrimination claims. I have also worked on class action matters with A &T and Hammondlaw on a contract basis. A number of these class action cases were piece-rate wage claims brought by Washington truck drivers with facts very similar to this Action. Craig Ackermann, the founder and managing shareholder of A&T and a labor and employment law practitioner since 1997, has amassed a significant amount of experience in complex and class action litigation in this practice area. Julian Hammond, the managing shareholder and founder of HammondLaw, who founded his firm in 2010, has also amassed a significant amount of experience in complex and class action litigation in this practice area.
- 5. The class action cases that I have been involved in as local counsel or co-counsel in Washington State are still pending. I am currently putative class local counsel or co-counsel in

DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN ISO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

INDIA LIN BODIEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW 2522 N. PROCTOR ST. #387 TACOMA, WA 98406 PH: 253-212-7913/F:253-276-0081

numerous putative class action cases in addition to Eilerman v. McLane, including:

- *Stone v. Interstate Distributor Co.*, Case No. 15-2-1462-8 (Wash. Sup. Ct. Pierce Cty.) (\$400,000 settlement of Washington Minimum Wage Act §49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act §49.12 *et seq.* putative class action on behalf of approximately 730 truck drivers);
- *McMakin v. Dominos*, Case No. 16-2-20655-7 (Wash. Sup. Ct. King Cty.) (\$160,000 settlement of Washington Minimum Wage Act §49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 *et seq.* putative class action on behalf of approximately 65 truck drivers);
- *Hedglin v. Swift Transportation Company of Arizona, L.L.C.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-05127-RJB (W.D. WA- Tacoma) (Washington Minimum Wage Act § 49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 *et seq.* putative class action on behalf of approximately 600 truck drivers);
- *Newton v. Oak Harbor*, Case No. 16-2-11137-8 KNT (Wash. Sup. Ct. King Cty.) (Washington Minimum Wage Act § 49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 *et seq.* putative class action on behalf of approximately 150 truck drivers);
- *Harris, et al. v. Jerry Debriae Logging Co., Inc.,* Case No. 16-2-00402-5 (Wash. Sup. Ct. Cowlitz Cty.) (\$205,000 Settlement of Washington Minimum Wage Act §49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 *et seq.* on behalf of approximately 31 truck drivers);
- Asplund v. White Timber Industries, Inc., Case No. 16-2-00870-5 (Wash. Sup. Ct. Cowlitz Cty.) (\$195,000 Settlement of Washington Minimum Wage Act § 49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 et seq. on behalf of approximately 60 truck drivers); and
- *Lindholm v. Tate Transportation, Inc.*, Case No. 17-2-00013-3 (Wash. Sup. Ct. Walla Walla Cty.) (Wash. Sup. Ct. King Cty.) (\$75,000 Settlement of Washington Minimum Wage Act § 49.46 and Industrial Welfare Act § 49.12 *et seq.* on behalf of approximately 72 truck drivers).

## III. OVERVIEW OF THE LITIGATION AND WORK PERFORMED

6. This case involved vigorously disputed legal and factual issues but, in my professional opinion, was litigated efficiently so as to maximize the benefit to the Class. My firm participated actively in the investigation, litigation, and settlement negotiations for this case, drafting and reviewing pleadings, reviewing and analyzing documents produced by Defendant, preparation of and attending a full-day mediation (with Judge Infante) on October 26, 2016, reviewing the preliminary approval papers, and drafting my supporting declaration. At all times the firms strived to divide up work assignments so as to avoid duplication of efforts and promote efficiency. I communicated frequently with Mr. Ackermann and Mr. Hammond to manage our collective workload and ensure there was no duplication of work.

DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN ISO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

INDIA LIN BODIEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW 2522 N. PROCTOR ST. #387 TACOMA, WA 98406 PH: 253-212-7913/F:253-276-0081

7. The firms were able to litigate and settle this case in an effective and efficient manner. Both firms have litigated and settled a number of very similar cases involving *inter alia*, unpaid rest breaks and non-driving time, as in the instant case. My and lead-counsel's offices were able to rely on that experience to evaluate the status of the case as well as Defendant's likely liability early in the litigation process and so reasonably settle the claims.

## IV. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

- 8. As of March 13, 2017, I have spent approximately 60<sup>1</sup> hours working on this case. A breakdown of my hours by task is as follows:
  - approximately 27 hours of work that I performed on this case was spent on case
    initiation tasks including reviewing the complaint, researching the applicable law,
    and discussing the Plaintiff's potential class claims with my co-counsel, as well as
    drafting initial disclosures, interviewing declarations, and drafting pro hac vice
    motions for class counsel;
  - approximately 16 hours were spent preparing for the mediation including reviewing the discovery produced by Defendant and discussing it with lead counsel, researching the law, drafting witness declarations, and reviewing both parties' detailed mediation briefs and damages analysis prior to the mediation;
  - approximately 12 hours were spent traveling from Tacoma, Washington to San
     Francisco to attend the mediation, attending the full-day mediation, and following
     up with the mediator, opposing counsel, and noticing the Court of the Settlement;
  - approximately 2 hours were spent advising the client of the final settlement; and

DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN
ISO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL

INDIA LIN BODIEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW 2522 N. PROCTOR ST. #387 TACOMA, WA 98406 PH: 253-212-7913/F:253-276-0081

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval, my time spent on the case up to February, 2017, was incorrectly calculated as being approximately 36 hours. By that time, I had spent approximately 55 hours on the case.

1	approximately 3 hours were spent reviewing the case file and drafting this	
2	declaration.	
3	9. At my 2016 billing rate of \$420 <sup>2</sup> per hour, these hours translate to \$25,200.	
4		
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and	
6	the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.	
7	Dated: April 21, 2017 /s/ India Lin Bodien	
8	INDIA LIN BODIEN	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22   23		
24		
25	<sup>2</sup> My hourly rate is calculated using the "Laffey Matrix" for attorneys who have been out of law	
26	school for 4-7 years in 2017. See <a href="http://www.laffeymatrix.com/see.html">http://www.laffeymatrix.com/see.html</a> . My hourly rate was	
27	cited in the Motion for Preliminary approval as \$300, but this was cited before my hourly rate had been updated to reflect that as of March, 2017, I am nearly 5 years out of law school.	
28	DECLARATION OF INDIA BODIEN ISO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL  STATEMENT OF THE PROCESS AND THE STATEMENT OF THE STAT	