1	MICHAEL L. CARVER, SBN 173633				
2	MICHELLE M. LUNDE, SBN 246585				
4	LABOR LAW OFFICE				
3	A Professional Corporation				
4	1395 Ridgewood Drive, Suite 300 Chico, CA 95973				
	Telephone: (530) 891-8503				
5	Fax: (530) 891-8512				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff IEVELVALD TORD A	CV			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff JEVELYN R. JOBRACK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN				
11	JEVELYN R. JOBRACK, et al.,	CASE NO.: STK-CV-UOE-2015-0004942			
12		CASE NO.: S1R-CV-UGE-2015-0004942			
13	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Linda L. Lofthus]			
14	v.				
15	YADAV, INC., and	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON			
	VARRIS MANAGEMENT, INC.,	MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND			
16	, <u></u> ,,	JUDGMENT			
17	Defendants.	Date: October 26, 2016			
18		Time: 9:00 a.m.			
		Dept: 11			
19		Action Filed: June 2, 2015			
20		Trial Date: None Set			
21	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:				
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on October 26, 2016, the Court entered the attached				
23	Order on Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Judgment.				
24	Date: October 26, 2016	LABOR LAW OFFICE A R.C.			
25	Date: October 20, 2010	LABOR LAW OFFICE, A.P.C.,			
6	1	Michael L. Carver			
27		Attorney for Plaintiff,			
		JEVELYN R. JOBRACK, individually and			
8		on behalf of all others similarly situated			

1	MICHAEL L. CARVER, SBN 173633 MICHELLE M. LUNDE, SBN 246585			
2	LABOR LAW OFFICE	Filed OCT 2 6 2016, ROSA JUNQUEIRO, CLERK		
3	A Professional Corporation 1395 Ridgewood Drive, Suite 300			
4	Chico, CA 95973	By ALICIA MARTIN		
5	Telephone: (530) 891-8503 Fax: (530) 891-8512	DEPUTY		
6				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff JEVELYN R JOBRACK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated			
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN			
11				
12	JEVELYN R. JOBRACK, et al.,	CASE NO.: STK-CV-UOE-2015-0004942		
13	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER ON MOTION FOR		
14	v.	FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT		
15	YADAV, INC., et al.,	Date: October 26, 2016		
16		Time: 9:00 a.m.		
17	Defendants.	Dept: 11 Action Filed: June 2, 2015		
18		Trial Date: Not Set		
19				
20.	The above-referenced class action case ("Action") having come before the Court on			
21	October 26, 2016, for an Order of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Judgment			
22	("Final Judgment"), consistent with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order ("Preliminary			
23	Approval Order"), filed and entered on June 27, 2016, and as set forth in the parties Stipulation of			
24	Class Action Settlement ("Agreement") between Plaintiff JEVELYN R. JOBRACK ("Plaintiff")			
25	and Defendant YADAV, INC. and Defendant VARRIS MANAGEMENT, INC.			
26	("Defendant") (collectively the "Parties") in the above-referenced Action, and due and adequate			
7	notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and			
8 8	the Court having considered all papers filed	and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully		
		1		

 informed and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 2. Consistent with the definitions provided in the Agreement, the term Class Members includes "all hourly employees, excluding store managers, who were employed by Defendants in the State of California during the time period of June 2, 2011 through June 27, 2016 and who, within the same workweek, worked at a Jack-in-the-Box restaurant operated by Defendant Yadav, Inc. and worked at a Jack-in-the-Box restaurant operated by Defendant Varris Management, Inc." The class excludes those persons who properly exclude themselves from the terms of the Settlement;
- 3. For purposes of the Settlement and this Final Judgment, "Defendant" and/or the "Released Parties" includes Defendant YADAV, INC. and Defendant VARRIS MANAGEMENT, INC. and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, assigns, their members, officials, owners, officers, directors, attorneys, agents, insurers, servants, representatives, current and former employees, heirs, franchisors, and assigns of each of them, and any other person, firm or entity now, previously, or hereafter affiliated in any manner with Defendants.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all Parties to this Action, including Plaintiff.
- 5. Distribution of the Notice of Pendency of Class Action, Proposed Settlement and Hearing ("Notice") and Claim Forms (collectively, the "Notice Packet") directed to Class Members as set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth therein, has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process. All Class Members and all Released Claims are covered by, included with and subject to the

- 6. The Court hereby finds the Agreement was entered into in good faith and further finds that the Settlement and Agreement are fair, reasonable and adequate and appear to be the product of serious, informed, arm's length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Agreement does not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual or entity and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, approved for use by the California state courts in *Vasquez v. Superior Court*, 4 Cal.3d 800, 821 (1971).
- 7. The Court hereby approves the Settlement as set forth in the Agreement and finds that the Settlement and Agreement are, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms outlined in the Agreement. The Court finds that the Settlement or Agreement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court also finds that the Class is properly certified for settlement purposes only.
- 8. As of the date of this Final Order and the Effective Date as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, each and every Released Claim of each Class Member (and his or her assigns, heirs, successors, and personal representatives) is and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties. All Class Members as of the date of this Final Order and the Effective Date are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims (as defined in the Stipulation of Settlement and as set forth below) against the Released Parties. As of the date of this Final Order, and except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the Settlement, Class Members and each member of the Class, fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims for overtime, penalties and related claims of the Class Members reasonably arise out of the facts alleged in the pending action, including claims for penalties under Labor Code Sections 203, 226, 510 and 2698, et seq. for the time period from June 2, 2011 through June 27, 2016, which were asserted or which could have been asserted in the

- Action that are based on the same predicate facts, including claims based on, arising out of, or in any way relating or pertaining to all claims for the failure to pay overtime; all claims for the failure to issue properly itemized wage statements pursuant to California Labor Code Section 226; all claims that Defendant owed and failed to pay wages timely upon termination of employment; interests, statutory penalties, including penalties recoverable under the Private Attorneys General Act (PAGA) for violations related to the above categories of claims and allegations; and all claims for unfair competition pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et. seq. arising from or related to the above categories of claims. By operation of this Order, each Class Member shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the this Order shall have, expressly waived and relinquished, the provisions, rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as to the Released Claims. The Released Claims do not include Workers' Compensation claims.
- 9. Further, Class Members, including Plaintiff, waive, and relinquish any and all rights and benefits that they may have with regard to the Release of Claims as further defined in the Stipulation of Settlement.
- 10. Neither the Settlement nor the Agreement are admissions by any of the Released Parties, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action, or of any wrongdoing by any of the Released Parties.
- 11. The Court hereby orders the Parties to act in accordance with and pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and Agreement and all orders entered in connection therewith.
- 12. The Court hereby finds the sum of Sixty Eight Thousand Dollars (\$68,000.00) Maximum Settlement Amount provided for under the Agreement, to be fair and reasonable. The Court, therefore, orders Settlement Awards to be made and administered in accordance with the terms of the Agreement to each Eligible Class Member who submits a Claim Form in accordance with the Agreement.

- 13. The Court hereby confirms Labor Law Office, A.P.C., Michael L. Carver, Esq. as Class Counsel for settlement purposes only.
- 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel fees of Seventeen Thousand Dollars (\$17,000) and costs of Six Thousand Dollars (\$6,000.00) to be paid from the Settlement as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel as set forth in the agreement.
- 15. The Court also hereby approves named Plaintiff as Class Representative for settlement purposes only and authorizes payment to Plaintiff JEVELYN R. JOBRACK, , a Class Representative Service Fee of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), and authorizes payment from the Settlement Fund.
- 16. The Court also orders that Defendant shall pay from the Maximum Settlement Amount, a Claims Administration Payment in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$3,500) be paid to the Claims Administrator Simpluris, Inc., for the costs of administration.
- 17. The Court further orders a PAGA payment allocation from the Maximum Settlement Amount in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) to pay penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), Labor Code sections 2699, et. seq., and finds this amount to be reasonable. Of this amount, 75% shall be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency and 25% shall be paid to the aggrieved employees pursuant to Labor Code Section 2699.
- 18. Simpluris Inc. shall post a copy of this signed Order and Judgment for thirty (30) days on its website in compliance with California Rule of Court 3.771(b).
- 19. The proceeds from any uncashed checks shall be donated cy pres to, a non-profit organization within 120 days after the Effective Date of this Settlement.
- 20. This Judgment shall not apply to any opt-out as those individuals were excluded from the Class by timely and validly excluding themselves from the Settlement in accordance

1	with the terms of the Agreement.		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3	OCT 9 & 2018	2016	LINDA LOFTHUS
4	Dated:0CT 2 6 2016	<del>, 2016</del>	Judge of the Superior Court
5			
6			
7			·
8			
9			
LO			
11			
L2			
.3			·
L4	·		
L5			
L6			
L7			
L 7			
.9			
20			
20			
21			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			6
			U

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of Butte, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the within entitled cause. My business address is 1395 Ridgewood Drive, Suite 300, Chico, CA 95973.

I am familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Documents so collected and processed, with postage fully prepaid, will be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On October 26, 2016, I served the within:

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

on the	below persons by:
	Facsimile;
	Personal service;
	Electronic mail (e-mail);
<u>X</u>	Placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Stockton, California addressed as follows:
	Delivering them to an authorized courier authorized by the express service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope designated by the express carrier with delivery fees paid or provided for, deposited at Chico, California addressed as follows:
	Dennis Huie Aaron M. Scolari ROGER, JOSEPH O'DONNELL 311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 26, 2016, at Stockton, California.

Michael L. Carver